

Criteria Guide for CIP Rating (weight)

- a. Removes Reduces Threats to Health & Safety (4)
 - 1 Unlikely to be a danger
 - 2 Problem within 10 years
 - 3 Problem within 5 years
 - 4 Problem within 1 or 2 years
 - 5 Imminent danger
 - 6 Is currently a threat to Health & Safety

- b. Meets Legal Mandates (3)
 - 1 No legal mandate
 - 2 To some extent
 - 3 Could be tied to more than 1 mandate
 - 4 Yes, specific Mandate
 - 5 Yes, more than 1 mandate
 - 6 Yes, Officially noted to be in violation of a Mandate

- c. Maintains Operations & Functions (2)
 - 1 Never
 - 2 Problem within 7 to 10 years
 - 3 Problem within 5 years
 - 4 Problem within 1 year
 - 5 Without this a specific function will stop

- d. Prevents Major Repair or Replacement Costs (2)
 - 1 No
 - 2 Unlikely
 - 3 Yes, repair or replacement will cost twice the requested amount
 - 4 Yes, repair or replacement will cost three times the requested amount
 - 5 Yes, repair or replacement will cost four times or more the requested amount

- e. Saves Energy (1)
 - 1 No
 - 2 Small saving expected
 - 3 Yes, moderate expected
 - 4 Yes, significant expected
 - 5 Yes, significant saving expected plus separately financing

- f. Meets City Goals (1)
 - 1 Does not meet any Goals
 - 2 Meets one Goal
 - 3 Meets two Goals
 - 4 Meets three Goals
 - 5 Meets all City Goals

- G. Large Aesthetic Benefit to User (1)
 - 1 No aesthetic benefit
 - 2 Minor aesthetic benefit
 - 3 Some Aesthetic Benefit
 - 4 Great Aesthetic Value but project purpose is not Aesthetics
 - 5 Large aesthetic benefit; item's primary purpose is aesthetic (e.g. landmark building, sculpture)

- h. Large Functional Benefit to User (1)
 - 1 Minimal Functional Benefit
 - 2 Few users - Minor Benefit to Public
 - 3 Moderate users - Benefit to Public
 - 4 Moderate number of users - Benefit to Employees and public
 - 5 Significant benefit to Users and Employees

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM REQUEST FORM

Due: March 3, 2015

Return to: Jonathon Goldman
Director of Public Works



1. Department DPW	2. Location of Requested Improvements 750 Bridgeway
3. Project Title Landscape Improvements around Calçadas project at Bank of America	
4. Description and Scope of Project - Include sketch on back, attach or e-mail a picture, if applicable. Add Landscaping to plaza area surrounding completed Calçadas project at BofA Plaza as designed by SWA	
5. Justification for Project (Based on CIP criteria) Meets City Goals, large aesthetic benefit, large functional benefit	CIP Criteria: Reduces Threats to Health & Safety Meets Legal mandates Maintains Operations and Functions Prevents Major Repairs Saves Energy Meets City Goals Large Aesthetic Benefit Large Functional Benefit
6. Type of Project a. New Construction <input checked="" type="radio"/> d. Replacement <input type="radio"/> b. Addition <input type="radio"/> e. Other <input type="radio"/> c. Major Repair <input type="radio"/>	
7. Department's Preferred Schedule Start date: 2018 Completion date:	
8. Special Funding (Indicate if funding is available, e.g., grants or donations -- attach documentation)	
9. Other comments by requesting Department \$100,000 guesstimate The Sister City program raised funds for the installation of the Calçada itself which will be completed Spring of 2018 and City was to install landscaping improvements around the installation itself. Upon completion of the Calçada, the area surround the installation will remain undeveloped. Improvements will be planting, irrigation and possibly accent lighting.	

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM REQUEST FORM



Due: March 3, 2015

Return to: Jonathon Goldman
Director of Public Works

1. Department DPW		2. Location of Requested Improvements 100 Ebbtide, MLK Property	
3. Project Title Building 6 Gymnasium Lighting Replacement			
4. Description and Scope of Project - Include sketch on back, attach or e-mail a picture, if applicable. Reove existing incandescent lighting in main area of gym, replace with LED flat panels and replace ceiling tiles			
5. Justification for Project (Based on CIP criteria) Maintains Operations and Functions, Saves Energy, large functional benefit		CIP Criteria: Reduces Threats to Health & Safety Meets Legal mandates Maintains Operations and Functions Prevents Major Repairs Saves Energy Meets City Goals Large Aesthetic Benefit Large Functional Benefit	
6. Type of Project			
a. New Construction <input type="radio"/>		d. Replacement <input checked="" type="radio"/>	
b. Addition <input type="radio"/>		e. Other <input type="radio"/>	
c. Major Repair <input type="radio"/>			
7. Department's Preferred Schedule			
Start date: ASAP		Completion date:	
8. Special Funding (Indicate if funding is available, e.g., grants or donations -- attach documentation) MLK Enterprise Funding			
9. Other comments by requesting Department \$20,000			

